

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,559	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Phan	3729	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non Final Action

 (1) Robert D. Traver.

 (3) Thiem D. Phan.

 (2) Carl J. Arbes.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2004
Time: Morning
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 14

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agree to delete the language "according to claim 1" (Cf. Claim 14, line 2) in order to clarify the claimed invention.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)